

Proceedings of the ...

A. N. Editor*

29 February, 2000

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The inverse Fermat-Weber problem on a plane with vertex 1- median	1
3	Inverse 1-median problem on a cycle with negative vertex weights	3
	References	3

*Support ...

1.

□

By submitting this extended abstract to IMC44 I **confirm** that (i) I and any other coauthor(s) are responsible for its content and its originality; (ii) any possible coauthors agreed to its submission to IMC44.

Department of Mathematics, Sahand University of Technology,
Tabriz, Iran.
alizadeh@sut.ac.ir

Abstract

In this work, an inverse undesirable center location problem is considered in which our goal is to modify the edge lengths of the underlying graph within given bounds at the minimum total cost such that a predetermined point of the graph becomes an undesirable center location under the new edge lengths. The cost is proportional to the increase or decrease, resp., of the edge length. The total cost is defined as sum of all cost incurred by length modifications . A novel combinatorial algorithm with linear time complexity is developed for obtaining an optimal solution of this inverse location model.

INVERSE UNDESIRABLE CENTER LOCATION OPTIMIZATION ON GRAPHS

BEHROOZ ALIZADEH

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 90C27; Secondary 90B80,90B85.

Key words and phrases. Combinatorial optimization, facility location, inverse optimization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Undesirable facility location problems are basic models in location theory in which customers no longer consider the facilities desirable, but attempt to have them as far away as possible from their own locations. Examples of such facilities include nuclear reactors, military installations, stadiums. Two well-known models in undesirable location optimization are the *undesirable center* and the *undesirable median* problems.

Whereas in the undesirable center problem the task is to find the best location of one or more facilities such that the minimum (weighted) distance between customers and the closest facility is maximized (see e.g. [?], [?]), the goal for an inverse undesirable location problem is to modify specific parameters (like edge lengths) of a given undesirable location problem in the cheapest possible way subject to certain modification bounds such that one or more pre-specified locations become optimal under the new parameter values. To the best of our knowledge, inverse undesirable center location problems have not been investigated until now. Within the context of desirable models, however, the NP -hardness of the inverse center location problem with edge length modification on directed graphs was proved by [?]. Later, Alizadeh et al. [?], [?] developed exact algorithms for variants of the inverse absolute and vertex center location problems on trees.

In this paper, we consider the inverse undesirable center location problem with edge length modification on graphs and propose a new linear algorithm which is based on a modified binary search manner.

2. THE UNDESIRABLE CENTER LOCATION PROBLEM AND ITS INVERSE MODEL

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be an undirected graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. Every edge $e \in E(G)$ has a positive length $\ell(e)$. Let $d_\ell(u, v)$ denote the shortest path distance between two vertices u and v under the edge lengths ℓ . It is said that point p lies in graph G , $p \in G$, if p coincides with a vertex or lies on an edge $e = uv$ with endpoints $u, v \in V(G)$. The *undesirable center location problem* on G asks for an optimal solution to

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize} && \min_{v \in V(G)} d_\ell(v, p) && (2.1) \\ & \text{subject to} && p \in G. \end{aligned}$$

An optimal solution p^* of problem (??) is called an *undesirable center location* on the given graph G . The undesirable centers of G can be obtained in $\mathcal{O}(|E(G)|)$ -time according to the following basic lemma.

Lemma 2.1. (*optimality criterion*)

For an unweighted graph G , the midpoint of a diameter edge is an undesirable center location.

In contrast to the classical undesirable center problem (??), the inverse undesirable center location problem on a graph is stated as follows: Let a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ with positive edge lengths $\ell(e)$, $e \in E(G)$, be given. Let s be a prespecified *interior point* (i.e., $s \notin V(G)$) on a specific edge e^s of G which divides e^s into two edge-segments e_1^s and e_2^s satisfying

$$\ell(e_1^s) + \ell(e_2^s) = \ell(e^s) \quad , \quad e_1^s \cap e_2^s = \{s\} \quad , \quad \ell(e_2^s) \leq \ell(e_1^s).$$

We want to modify the edge (and edge-segment) lengths in the cheapest possible way such that the prespecified point s becomes an undesirable center location under the modified edge lengths. Let $\hat{E} = \{e_1^s, e_2^s\} \cup E(G) \setminus \{e^s\}$. Suppose that we incur the nonnegative cost $c^+(e)$ if $\ell(e)$, $e \in \hat{E}$, is increased by one unit and we incur the nonnegative cost $c^-(e)$ if $\ell(e)$ is reduced by one unit. Moreover, we are not allowed to modify the lengths arbitrarily. Therefore, let $u^+(e)$ and $u^-(e)$ be the maximum permissible amounts by which length $\ell(e)$, $e \in \hat{E}$, can be increased and reduced, respectively. We can now state the *inverse undesirable center location problem* (IOCP for short) on G as follows:

Modify the lengths $\ell(e)$, $e \in E \cup \{e_1^s, e_2^s\}$, to $\tilde{\ell}(e)$ such that the following three statements (i), (ii) and (iii) are satisfied:

- (i) The prespecified point s becomes an undesirable center location on graph G with respect to new lengths $\tilde{\ell}$.
- (ii) The cost function

$$\sum_{e \in \hat{E}} \left(c^+(e) \max\{0, \tilde{\ell}(e) - \ell(e)\} + c^-(e) \max\{0, \ell(e) - \tilde{\ell}(e)\} \right)$$

for changing the edge lengths on G is minimized.

- (iii) The new edge (or edge-segment) lengths lie within the given modification bounds

$$-u^-(e) \leq \tilde{\ell}(e) - \ell(e) \leq u^+(e) \quad \text{for all } e \in \hat{E}.$$

We are now going to present briefly our solution method.

3. OPTIMAL SOLUTION APPROACH

According to Lemma ??, our *generic solution idea* for solving IOCP is as follows: Either increase or reduce the lengths $\ell(e)$, $e \in \hat{E}$, at minimum total cost subject to the given modification bounds $u^-(e)$ and $u^+(e)$ such that the equalities

$$\tilde{\ell}(e^s) = \max\{\tilde{\ell}(e) : e \in \hat{E}\} \quad , \quad \tilde{\ell}(e_1^s) = \tilde{\ell}(e_2^s)$$

are satisfied. Note that an optimal modification of IOCP may either reduce or increase the length $\ell(e_1^s)$. Hence we have to take into consideration both of these cases.

Case 1. The length $\ell(e_1^s)$ is increased in an optimal solution of IOCP.

In this case, both lengths $\ell(e_1^s)$ and $\ell(e_2^s)$ are to be increased and the other lengths $\ell(e)$, $e \neq e^s$, may be reduced. We have shown that in the current case the solution of IOCP is reduced to the solution of the nonlinear programming problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & f(z) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 c^+(e_i^s) \right) \left(\frac{1}{2}z - \ell(e_1^s) \right) + \sum_{e: \ell(e) \geq z} c^-(e) (\ell(e) - z) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \frac{1}{2}z - \ell(e_1^s) \leq \min\{u^+(e_1^s), u^+(e_2^s)\}, \\ & \ell(e) - z \leq u^-(e) \quad \text{for all } e \in \hat{E} \text{ with } \ell(e) \geq z, \\ & 2\ell(e_1^s) \leq z \leq \max\{2\ell(e_1^s), \ell(e) ; e \in \hat{E}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where we have $\frac{1}{2}z = \tilde{\ell}(e_1^s)$. We have constructed a new procedure which solve the problem (??) in $\mathcal{O}(|E(G)|)$ time.

Case 2. The length $\ell(e_1^s)$ is reduced in an optimal solution of IOCP.

In this case, length $\ell(e_2^s)$ may be increased and the lengths $\ell(e_1^s)$ as well as $\ell(e)$, $e \neq e^s$ may be reduced. In an analogous way, the solution of IOCLP is also reduced to the optimal solution of a specific nonlinear program which can be solved in $\mathcal{O}(|E(G)|)$ time.

From the optimal solution of the mentioned nonlinear programs with smaller objective value, an optimal solution for IOCP is derived.

Altogether, we get

Theorem 3.1. *The inverse undesirable center location problem can be solved in $\mathcal{O}(|E(G)|)$ -time on a graph.*

REFERENCES

1. B. Alizadeh and R. E. Burkard, *Combinatorial algorithms for inverse absolute and vertex 1-center location problems on trees*, Networks 58 (2011), no. 3, 190–200.
2. B. Alizadeh, R. E. Burkard and U. Pferschy, *Inverse 1-center location problems with edge length augmentation on trees*, Computing 86 (2009), no. 4, 331–343.
3. M. C. Cai, X. G. Yang and J. Z. Zhang, *The complexity analysis of the inverse center location problem*, J. Global Optim. 15 (1999), no. 2, 213–218.
4. F. Plastria, *Optimal location of undesirable facilities: a selective overview*, Belg. J. Oper. Res. Statist. Comput. Sci. 36 (1996), no. 2, 109–127.
5. R. Zanjirani and M. Hekmatfar, *Facility location: concepts, models, algorithms and case studies*, Physica-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.

Theorem[section] [theorem]Lemma [theorem]Proposition [theorem]Corollary
 [theorem]Definition [theorem]Example [theorem]Exercise [theorem]Remark

By submitting this extended abstract to IMC44 I confirm that (i) I and any other coauthor(s) are responsible for its content and its originality; (ii) any possible coauthors agreed to its submission to IMC44.

[The inverse 1-median problem]The inverse 1-median problem on a plane and on a cycle with negative weights

[M. Galavii]Mohammadreza Galavii

Department of Mathematics, University of Zabol,

P. O. Box 98615-538, Zabol , Iran

galavi@opt.math.tu-graz.ac.at

[2010]Primary 90C27; Secondary 90B80, 90B85.

Location, inverse location, nonlinear programming, combinatorial optimization.

Abstract

This article considers two problems, the first one is the inverse Fermat-Weber problem, provided the Euclidean 1-median is a vertex and the second one is the inverse 1-maxian problem on cycles. For any two problems, the aim is to change the vertex weights at minimum total cost with respect to given modification bounds such that a prespecified vertex becomes 1-median. If the prespecified point coincides with one of the given n points in the plane, it is shown that the corresponding inverse problem can be written as convex problem and hence is solvable in polynomial time to any fixed precision. We show that the inverse 1-maxian problem on a cycles with positive edge-lengths and unit cost can be solved in $O(n^2)$ -time.

4. INTRODUCTION

In recent years inverse optimization problems found an increased interest. The *inverse optimization problem* consists in changing parameters of the problem at minimum cost such that a prespecified solution becomes optimal. Recently, inverse p -median problem has been investigated by Burkard, Pleschiutsching and Zhang [?].

They showed that the discrete inverse p -median problem with real weights can be solved in polynomial time provided p is fixed and not an input parameter. They presented a greedy-like $O(n \log n)$ -time algorithm for the inverse 1-median problem in the plane provided the distances between the points are measured in the Manhattan or maximum metric. Also, they showed that the inverse 1-median problem on a cycle with positive vertex weights can be solved in $O(n^2)$ time. The inverse Fermat-Weber problem was studied by Burkard, Galavii, and Gassner [?]. The authors derived a combinatorial approach which solves the problem in $O(n \log n)$ -time for unit cost and under the assumption that the prespecified point that should become a 1-median does not coincide with a given point in the plane. Galavii [?] showed that the inverse 1-median problem on a tree with positive weights can be solved in linear time. In this paper we investigate the inverse Fermat-Weber problem on a plane, provided the Euclidean 1-median is a vertex and also the inverse 1-median problem on cycles with negative weights.

5. THE INVERSE FERMAT-WEBER PROBLEM ON A PLANE WITH VERTEX 1-MEDIAN

Given n points P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n in a metric space (X, d) and positive weights w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n the 1-median problem asks for a point $P \in X$ which minimizes

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i d(P_i, P).$$

In the *inverse 1-median problem* a point P_0 is given in addition to the points P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n . The weight of these points have to be modified within given bounds $[w_i, \bar{w}_i]$ such that P_0 becomes a 1-median and the sum of weight changes [or: the cost for the weight changes] is as small as possible.

Definition 5.1. If $P_0 \neq P_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ the resultant force $R(P_0)$ at P_0 given by:

$$R(P_0) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{w_i}{d(P_i, P_0)} (P_i - P_0);$$

and for $P_i = P_j$ for some $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$R(P_0) := \max(\|R_j\| - w_j, 0) \frac{R_j}{\|R_j\|}.$$

Differential calculus tells that P_0 is a 1-median if and only if the resultant $R(P_0) = 0$. In case that $P_i \neq P_j$ we can assume that P_0 lies in the interior of the convex hull of the points P_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and that P_0 is the origin. Next we project the given points on the unit circle. The point $P_0=(0,0)$ is a Euclidean 1-median if and only if

$$R_x(w) := \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i = 0,$$

$$R_y(w) := \sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i = 0.$$

Since the Euclidean distance is invariant with respect to rotation and reflection, we can always assume that

$$R_x(w) = 0,$$

$$R_y(w) \leq 0.$$

If $R_y(w) = 0$, then the weights w_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, provide an optimal solution. By this assumptions, in the case that $P_i \neq P_j$, it is shown that by Burkard, Galavii and Gassneer [?] that the inverse Fermat-Weber problem can be solved in $O(n \log n)$ time.

Now we consider the case that the prespecified point coincides with one of the given points. We have the following result.

Theorem 5.2. *P_0 is the optimal location if and only if*

$$w_0^2 \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \frac{x_i - x_0}{d(P_i, P_0)} \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \frac{y_i - y_0}{d(P_i, P_0)} \right)^2$$

Thus the above Theorem yields point $P_0 = (0, 0)$ is 1-median if and only if

$$R_x^2(w) + R_y^2(w) \leq w_0^2$$

holds. This condition does not lead to a convex problem. However, it is possible to fix the optimal weight in advance

Lemma 5.3. *There exists an optimal solution w^* with*

$$w_0^* = \min\{\bar{w}_0, \sqrt{R_x^2(w) + R_y^2(w)}\}.$$

The above Lemma implies that the weight of P_0 can be fixed. After modifying the weight of P_0 the remaining problem is convex and can be solved by any algorithm for convex programming.

Theorem 5.4. *If the prespecified point is one of the given n points, then an optimal solution (to any fixed precision) of the inverse Fermat-Weber problem with unit cost can be computed in polynomial time.*

6. INVERSE 1-MEDIAN PROBLEM ON A CYCLE WITH NEGATIVE VERTEX WEIGHTS

For the classical median problem (the vertex weights are positive) on a network, it has been shown by Hakimi [?] that there always exists a node which is optimal. That is not the case for the maxian problem. Church and Garfinkel [?] have studied the 1-maxian problem on a network. The importance of that paper is that it specifies a finite set of points containing an optimal solution to the maxian problem on a network. In the 1-maxian problem we want to locate one facility such that the sum of positive weighted distances of clients to the facility is maximized. The objective of the 1-maxian problem is to find a point x for which

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n w_i d(x, i) \quad (6.1)$$

is maximum. As has been shown by Church and Garfinkel [?], there exists a point x^* which maximizes (??) such that $x^* \in B$ where B is the set of bottleneck point of network. Using these facts, the inverse 1-maxian problem on a cycle can be rewrite as a linear program that has been analyzed by Burkard, Pleschiutschnig, and Zhang [?]. Thus, we have the following Proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *The inverse 1-maxian problem on a cycle with positive edge-lengths and unit cost can be solved in $O(n^2)$ -time.*

REFERENCES

1. R. E. Burkard, M. Galavii and E. Gassner, *The inverse Fermat-Weber problem*, European J. Oper. Res. 206 (2010), no. 1, 11–17.
2. R. E. Burkard, C. Pleschiutschnig and J. Z. Zhang, *Inverse median problems*, Discrete Optim. 1 (2004), no. 1, 23–39.
3. R. L. Church and R.S. Garfinkel, *Locating an obnoxious facility on a network*, Transport. Sci. 12 (1978), no. 2, 107–118.
4. M. Galavii, *The inverse 1-median problem on a tree and on a path*, Electron. Notes Discrete Math. 36 (2010), 1241–1248.
5. S. L. Hakimi, *Optimum location of switching center and the absolute centers and medians of a graph*, Oper. Res. 12 (1964), no. 3, 450–459.

7 Acknowledgements

Among the many ...